Sharp Cusa–Huygens and related inequalities

József Sándor

Babes-Bolyai University, Department of Mathematics 1 Kogălniceanu Str., 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania e-mail: jsandor@math.ubbcluj.ro

Abstract: We determine the best positive constants a and b such that

$$\left(\frac{\cos x + 2}{3}\right)^a < \frac{\sin x}{x} < \left(\frac{\cos x + 2}{3}\right)^b.$$

Similar sharp inequalities are also considered.

Keywords: Inequalities, Trigonometric functions, Hyperbolic functions, Monotonicity theorems. AMS Classification: 26D05, 26D07, 26D99.

Introduction 1

In paper [4] the author has determined the best positive constants p and q such that

$$\left(\frac{\sinh x}{x}\right)^p < \frac{x}{\sin x} < \left(\frac{\sinh x}{x}\right)^q,\tag{1.1}$$

where $x \in (0, \pi/2)$. In fact one has p = 1 and $q \approx 1.18$. Similar results have been obtained in paper [3]:

The best constants r, s > 0 such that

$$\frac{1}{(\cosh x)^r} < \frac{\sin x}{x} < \frac{1}{(\cosh x)^s}, \ x \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$
(1.2)

are $r \approx 0.49..., s = \frac{1}{3}$. The best constants u, v > 0 such that

$$\left(\frac{\sinh x}{x}\right)^u < \frac{2}{\cos x + 1} < \left(\frac{\sinh x}{x}\right)^v, \ x \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$
(1.3)

are $u = 3/2, v \approx 1.81...$

The famous Cusa-Huygens inequality (see e.g. [2]) states that for any $x \in (0, \pi/2)$ one has

$$\frac{\sin x}{x} < \frac{\cos x + 2}{3}.\tag{1.4}$$

As it is well-known that (see e.g. [2])

$$\frac{\sin x}{x} > \frac{\cos x + 1}{2},\tag{1.5}$$

and as an immediate computation gives

$$\frac{\cos x + 1}{2} > \left(\frac{\cos x + 2}{3}\right)^2$$

(equivalent with $(\cos x - 1)(2\cos x + 1) < 0$), clearly one arises the question on the constants a, b > 0 such that

$$\left(\frac{\cos x + 2}{3}\right)^a < \frac{\sin x}{x} < \left(\frac{\cos x + 2}{3}\right)^b.$$
(1.6)

Similarly, as it is shown in [2], one has

$$\frac{\sin x}{x} > \left(\frac{\cos x + 1}{2}\right)^{2/3},$$

by (1.5) we can study the constants c and d > 0 such that

$$\left(\frac{\cos x + 1}{2}\right)^c < \frac{\sin x}{x} < \left(\frac{\cos x + 1}{2}\right)^d,\tag{1.7}$$

where, as in the case of (1.6), $x \in (0, \pi/2)$.

The hyperbolic variants of these inequalities may be studied, too. In what follows, we shall always assume that $x \in (0, \pi/2)$.

2 Main results

First, by using the method of [4] we shall prove the following:

Theorem 2.1. The best positive constants a and b in inequality (1.6) are $a = (\ln \pi/2)/(\ln 3/2) \approx 1,113...$ and b = 1.

Proof. We shall use the following auxiliary results:

Lemma 2.1. One has, for any $x \in (0, \pi/2)$, the inequalities

$$\ln \frac{x}{\sin x} < \frac{\sin x - x \cos x}{2 \sin x} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\ln \frac{3}{2 + \cos x} > \frac{x \sin x}{2(2 + \cos x)}.$$
(2.2)

Proof. Inequality (2.1) is proved in [4] (see Lemma 2.2). For the proof of (2.2) consider the application

$$a(x) = \ln \frac{3}{2 + \cos x} - \frac{x \sin x}{2(2 + \cos x)}, \ x \in \left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right).$$

For the derivative of this function one can deduce, by an elementary computation:

$$2(2 + \cos x)^2 a'(x) = 2\sin x + \sin x \cos x - 2x\cos x - x = b(x).$$

Now $b'(x) = 2 \sin x(x - \sin x) > 0$, and as b(0) = 0, we get $b(x) \ge b(0) = 0$ for $x \ge 0$. This in turn implies $a'(x) \ge 0$ for $x \ge 0$ and as a(0) = 0, we get a(x) > 0 for x > 0 and $x < \pi/2$. This proves relation (2.2) of Lemma 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let us introduce the application

$$h(x) = \frac{\ln(x/\sin x)}{\ln(3/(2+\cos x))}, \ x \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$

and

$$f(x) = \ln(x/\sin x), \ g(x) = \ln(3/(2+\cos x)).$$

One gets easily

$$g^{2}(x)h'(x) = \frac{\sin x - x\cos x}{x\sin x} \ln \frac{3}{2 + \cos x} - \frac{\sin x}{2 + \cos x} \ln \frac{x}{\sin x}.$$
 (2.3)

By inequality (2.1) one can write

$$g^{2}(x)h'(x) > \frac{\sin x - x\cos x}{\sin x} \left[\frac{1}{x}\ln\frac{3}{2 + \cos x} - \frac{\sin x}{2(2 + \cos x)}\right],$$

so by (2.2), the paranthesis being strictly positive, we get by (2.3)

$$h'(x) > 0.$$

Thus h(x) is a strictly increasing function. This implies

$$\lim_{x \to 0} h(x) = 1 < h(x) < h\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \frac{\ln \pi/2}{\ln 3/2}$$

so we get the best constants in (1.6), $a = \frac{\ln \pi/2}{\ln 3/2} \approx 1,113...$ and b = 1. This proves Theorem 2.1.

In what follows, we shall prove by another method the following result:

Theorem 2.2. The best positive constants c and d in inequality (1.7) are $c = \frac{2}{3}$ and

$$d = \frac{\ln(\pi/2)}{\ln 2} \approx 0.651\dots$$

Proof. The following variant of L'Hôpital's rule, known also as the "monotone form of L'Hôpital's rule" will be applied (see [1], p. 106):

Lemma 2.2. For a < b, let f, g be continuous on [a, b], differentiable on (a, b) and g' never vanish on (a, b). If f'/g' is (strictly) increasing (decreasing) on (a, b), then so are $\frac{f(x) - f(a)}{g(x) - g(a)}$

and
$$\frac{f(x) - f(b)}{g(x) - g(b)}$$
.

Let $f(x) = \ln \frac{2}{\cos x + 1}$ and $g(x) = \ln \frac{x}{\sin x}$, where $[a, b] = [0, \pi/2]$. Then,

$$\frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} = \frac{x \sin^2 x}{(\sin x - x \cos x)(\cos x + 1)} = \frac{2x \sin^2 \frac{x}{2}}{\sin x - x \cos x} = \frac{f_1(x)}{g_1(x)}.$$

One has

$$\frac{f_1'(x)}{g_1'(x)} = \frac{2\sin\frac{x}{2}\left(\sin\frac{x}{2} + x\cos\frac{x}{2}\right)}{x\sin x} = 1 + \frac{\tan\frac{x}{2}}{x}.$$

As for $k(x) = \frac{\tan \frac{x}{2}}{x}$ one has $k'(x) = \frac{x - \sin x}{2\cos^2 \frac{x}{2}} > 0$, the function k(x) is strictly increasing. As

 $f_1(0) = g_1(0) = 0, \frac{f_1(x)}{g_1(x)}$ will be strictly increasing. This in turn implies the same for the function $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = h(x).$ As (1.7) may be written as $\frac{1}{c} < h(x) < \frac{1}{d}$, and as h(x) is strictly increasing, we get $\frac{1}{c} = \lim_{x \to 0} h(x) = \frac{3}{2}, \ \frac{1}{d} = h\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \frac{\ln 2}{\ln(\pi/2)}.$

This proves Theorem 2.2.

There exist also hyperbolic variants to these theorems. We prove one of these theorems, namely:

Theorem 2.3. The best positive constants m and n such that

$$\left(\frac{\cosh x+1}{2}\right)^m < \frac{\sinh x}{x} < \left(\frac{\cosh x+1}{2}\right)^n, \ x > 0$$

are $m = \frac{2}{3}$ and n = 1. *Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 2.2, let

$$h(x) = \ln\left(\frac{\sinh x}{x}\right) / \ln\left(\frac{\cosh x + 1}{2}\right) = f(x)/g(x), \ x \in (0, +\infty).$$

Then, by elementary computations we get

$$\frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} = \frac{x \cosh x - \sinh x}{2x \sinh^2 \frac{x}{2}} = \frac{f_1(x)}{g_1(x)}$$

One gets

$$\frac{f_1'(x)}{g_1'(x)} = \frac{x \sinh x}{2 \sinh \frac{x}{2} \left(\sinh \frac{x}{2} + x \cosh \frac{x}{2}\right)} = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\tanh \frac{x}{2}\right)/x}.$$

Put $l(x) = \frac{\tanh \frac{x}{2}}{x}$. As $l'(x) = \frac{x - \sinh x}{2\cosh^2 \frac{x}{2}} < 0$, l(x) is strictly decreasing for any x > 0, so

 $\frac{f'_1(x)}{g'_1(x)}$ is strictly increasing. As $f'_1(0) = g'_1(0) = 0$ and f(0) = g(0) = 0, $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = h(x)$ will

be strictly increasing on (0, b) for any b > 0. Thus, we get from Lemma 2.2 that h(x) is strictly increasing for any $x \in (0, b)$; thus

$$\lim_{x \to 0} h(x) = \frac{2}{3} < h(x) < h(b) = \ln \frac{\sinh b}{b} / \ln \left(\frac{\cosh b + 1}{2} \right).$$

As $\lim_{b\to+\infty} h(b) = 1$, we get $m = \frac{2}{3}$ and n = 1, so Theorem 2.3 follows.

References

- [1] Hardy, G.H., J.E. Littlewood, G. Pólya. Inequalities, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1959.
- [2] Neuman, E., J. Sándor. On some inequalities involving trigonometric and hyperbolic functions with emphasis on the Cusa-Huygens, Wilker, and Huygens inequalities, *Math. Ineq. Appl.*, Vol. 13, 2010, No. 4, 715–723.
- [3] Neuman, E., J. Sándor. Optimal inequalities for hyperbolic and trigonometric functions, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.*, Vol. 3, 2011, No. 3, 177–181.
- [4] Sándor, J. Two sharp inequalities for trigonometric and hyperbolic functions, *ath. Ineq. Appl.*, to appear

Notes added in proof

This paper was written in 2010, and sent to the journal September 19, 2011.

Meantime, paper [4] (sent May 9, 2011) has been published in Vol. 15, 2012, No. 2, 409–413. A Referee has pointed out that, Theorem 2.1 of this paper has been discovered also in the following work: C.-P. Chen and W.-S. Cheung, *Sharp Cusa and Becker–Stark inequalities*, J. Ineq. Appl., 2011:136.

As one can see, our method is based on the earlier paper [4], while the above work uses completely different (and more complicated) arguments. As this paper appeared 7 December 2011, clearly the result has been sent to journals about the same times independently.