Restricted super line signed graph $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$

P. Siva Kota Reddy 1 and U. K. \mathbf{Misra}^{2}

¹ Department of Mathematics Siddaganga Institute of Technology B. H. Road, Tumkur-572 103, India e-mails: reddy_math@yahoo.com, pskreddy@sit.ac.in

² Department of Mathematics
Berhampur University
Berhampur–760 007, Orissa, India

Dedicated to Honorable Shri Dr. M. N. Channabasappa on his 82nd birthday

Abstract: A signed graph (marked graph) is an ordered pair $S = (G, \sigma)$ ($S = (G, \mu)$), where G = (V, E) is a graph called the *underlying graph* of S and $\sigma : E \to \{+, -\}$ ($\mu : V \to \{+, -\}$) is a function. The *restricted super line graph of index* r of a graph G, denoted by $\mathcal{RL}_r(G)$. The vertices of $\mathcal{RL}_r(G)$ are the r-subsets of E(G) and two vertices $P = \{p_1, p_2, ..., p_r\}$ and $Q = \{q_1, q_2, ..., q_r\}$ are adjacent if there exists exactly one pair of edges, say p_i and q_j , where $1 \le i, j \le r$, that are adjacent edges in G.

Analogously, one can define the *restricted super line signed graph of index* r of a signed graph $S = (G, \sigma)$ as a signed graph $\mathcal{RL}_r(S) = (\mathcal{RL}_r(G), \sigma')$, where $\mathcal{RL}_r(G)$ is the underlying graph of $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$, where for any edge PQ in $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$, $\sigma'(PQ) = \sigma(P)\sigma(Q)$. It is shown that for any signed graph S, its $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$ is balanced and we offer a structural characterization of restricted super line signed graphs of index r.

Further, we characterize signed graphs S for which $\mathcal{RL}_r(S) \sim \mathcal{L}_r(S)$ and $\mathcal{RL}_r(S) \cong \mathcal{L}_r(S)$, where \sim and \cong denotes switching equivalence and isomorphism and $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$ and $\mathcal{L}_r(S)$ are denotes the restricted super line signed graph of index r and super line signed graph of index r of S, respectively.

Keywords: Signed graphs, Marked graphs, Balance, Switching, Restricted super line signed graph, Super line signed graphs, Negation.

AMS Classification: 05C22.

1 Introduction

Unless mentioned or defined otherwise, for all terminology and notion in graph theory the reader is refer to [7]. We consider only finite, simple graphs free from self-loops.

Cartwright and Harary [4] considered graphs in which vertices represent persons and the edges represent symmetric dyadic relations amongst persons each of which designated as being positive or negative according to whether the nature of the relationship is positive (friendly, like, etc.) or negative (hostile, dislike, etc.). Such a network *S* is called a *signed graph* (Chartrand [5]; Harary et al. [10]).

Signed graphs are much studied in literature because of their extensive use in modeling a variety socio-psychological process (e.g., see Katai and Iwai [12], Roberts [15] and Roberts and Xu [16]) and also because of their interesting connections with many classical mathematical systems (Zaslavsky [36]).

A cycle in a signed graph S is said to be *positive* if the product of signs of its edges is positive. A cycle which is not positive is said to be *negative*. A signed graph is then said to be *balanced* if every cycle in it is positive (Harary [8]). Harary and Kabell [11] developed a simple algorithm to detect balance in signed graphs as also enumerated them.

A marking of S is a function $\mu : V(G) \to \{+, -\}$; A signed graph S together with a marking μ is denoted by S_{μ} . Given a signed graph S one can easily define a marking μ of S as follows: For any vertex $v \in V(S)$,

$$\mu(v) = \prod_{uv \in E(S)} \sigma(uv),$$

the marking μ of S is called *canonical marking* of S. In a signed graph $S = (G, \sigma)$, for any $A \subseteq E(G)$ the sign $\sigma(A)$ is the product of the signs on the edges of A.

The following characterization of balanced signed graphs is well known.

Proposition 1. (E. Sampathkumar [17]) A signed graph $S = (G, \sigma)$ is balanced if, and only if, there exists a marking μ of its vertices such that each edge uv in S satisfies $\sigma(uv) = \mu(u)\mu(v)$.

The idea of switching a signed graph was introduced in [1] in connection with structural analysis of social behavior and also its deeper mathematical aspects, significance and connections may be found in [36].

Switching S with respect to a marking μ is the operation of changing the sign of every edge of S to its opposite whenever its end vertices are of opposite signs (See also [14, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33]). The signed graph obtained in this way is denoted by $S_{\mu}(S)$ and is called μ -switched signed graph or just switched signed graph. Two signed graphs $S_1 = (G, \sigma)$ and $S_2 = (G', \sigma')$ are said to be isomorphic, written as $S_1 \cong S_2$ if there exists a graph isomorphism $f: G \to G'$ (that is a bijection $f: V(G) \to V(G')$ such that if uv is an edge in G then f(u)f(v) is an edge in G') such that for any edge $e \in G$, $\sigma(e) = \sigma'(f(e))$. Further a signed graph $S_1 = (G, \sigma)$ switches to a signed graph $S_2 = (G', \sigma')$ (or that S_1 and S_2 are switching equivalent) written $S_1 \sim S_2$, whenever there exists a marking μ of S_1 such that $S_{\mu}(S_1) \cong S_2$.

Note that $S_1 \sim S_2$ implies that $G \cong G'$, since the definition of switching does not involve change of adjacencies in the underlying graphs of the respective signed graphs.

Two signed graphs $S_1 = (G, \sigma)$ and $S_2 = (G', \sigma')$ are said to be *weakly isomorphic* (see [34]) or cycle isomorphic (see [35]) if there exists an isomorphism $\phi : G \to G'$ such that the sign of every cycle Z in S_1 equals to the sign of $\phi(Z)$ in S_2 . The following result is well known:

Proposition 2. (**T. Zaslavsky** [35]) *Two signed graphs* S_1 *and* S_2 *with the same underlying graph are switching equivalent if, and only if, they are cycle isomorphic.*

2 Restricted super line signed graph $\mathcal{L}_r(S)$

In [13], K. Manjula introduced the concept of the *restricted super line graph*, which generalizes the notion of line graph. For a given G, its restricted super line graph $\mathcal{RL}_r(G)$ of index r is the graph whose vertices are the r-subsets of E(G), and two vertices $P = \{p_1, p_2, ..., p_r\}$ and $Q = \{q_1, q_2, ..., q_r\}$ are adjacent if there exists exactly one pair of edges, say p_i and q_j , where $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, that are adjacent edges in G. In [2], the authors introduced the concept of the *super line graph* as follows: For a given G, its super line graph $\mathcal{L}_r(G)$ of index r is the graph whose vertices are the r-subsets of E(G), and two vertices P and Q are adjacent if there exist $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$ such that p and q are adjacent edges in G. Clearly $\mathcal{RL}_r(G)$ is a spanning subgraph of $\mathcal{L}_r(G)$. From the definitions of $\mathcal{RL}_r(G)$ and $\mathcal{L}_r(G)$, it turns out that $\mathcal{RL}_1(G)$ and $\mathcal{L}_1(G)$ coincides with the line graph L(G).

In this paper, we extend the notion of $\mathcal{RL}_r(G)$ to realm of signed graphs as follows: The *restricted super line signed graph of index* r of a signed graph $S = (G, \sigma)$ as a signed graph $\mathcal{RL}_r(S) = (\mathcal{RL}_r(G), \sigma')$, where $\mathcal{RL}_r(G)$ is the underlying graph of $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$, where for any edge PQ in $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$, $\sigma'(PQ) = \sigma(P)\sigma(Q)$.

Hence, we shall call a given signed graph S is a restricted super line signed graph of index r if it is isomorphic to the restricted super line signed graph of index r, $\mathcal{RL}_r(S')$ of some signed graph S'. In the following subsection, we shall present a characterization of restricted super line signed graph of index r.

The following result indicates the limitations of the notion $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$ as introduced above, since the entire class of unbalanced signed graphs is forbidden to be restricted super line signed graphs of index r.

Proposition 3. For any signed graph $S = (G, \sigma)$, its $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$ is balanced.

Proof. Let σ' denote the signing of $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$ and let the signing σ of S be treated as a marking of the vertices of $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$. Then by definition of $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$ we see that $\sigma'(P,Q) = \sigma(P)\sigma(Q)$, for every edge PQ of $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$ and hence, by Proposition 1, the result follows.

For any positive integer k, the k^{th} iterated restricted super line signed graph of index r, $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$ of S is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{RL}_r^0(S) = S, \, \mathcal{RL}_r^k(S) = \mathcal{RL}_r(\mathcal{RL}_r^{k-1}(S))$$

Corollary 4. For any signed graph $S = (G, \sigma)$ and any positive integer k, $\mathcal{RL}_r^k(S)$ is balanced.

In [26], the authors introduced the notion of the super line signed graph, which generalizes the notion of line signed graph [6]. The super line signed graph of index r of a signed graph $S = (G, \sigma)$ as a signed graph $\mathcal{L}_r(S) = (\mathcal{L}_r(G), \sigma')$, where $\mathcal{L}_r(G)$ is the underlying graph of $\mathcal{L}_r(S)$, where for any edge PQ in $\mathcal{L}_r(S)$, $\sigma'(PQ) = \sigma(P)\sigma(Q)$. The above notion restricted super line signed graph is another generalization of line signed graphs.

Proposition 5. (P.S.K.Reddy and S. Vijay [26]) For any signed graph $S = (G, \sigma)$, its $\mathcal{L}_r(S)$ is balanced.

In [13], the author characterized whose restricted super line graphs of index r that are isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}_r(S)$.

Proposition 6. (K. Manjula [13]) For a graph G = (V, E), $\mathcal{RL}_r(G) \cong \mathcal{L}_r(G)$ if, and only if, G is either $K_{1,2} \cup nK_2$ or nK_2 .

We now characterize signed graphs those $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$ are switching equivalent to their $\mathcal{L}_r(S)$.

Proposition 7. For any signed graph $S = (G, \sigma)$, $\mathcal{RL}_r(S) \sim \mathcal{L}_r(S)$ if, and only if, G is either $K_{1,2} \cup nK_2$ or nK_2 .

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{RL}_r(S) \sim \mathcal{L}_r(S)$. This implies, $\mathcal{RL}_r(G) \cong \mathcal{L}_r(G)$ and hence by Proposition 6, we see that the graph G must be isomorphic to either $K_{1,2} \cup nK_2$ or nK_2 .

Conversely, suppose that G is either $K_{1,2} \cup nK_2$ or nK_2 . Then $\mathcal{RL}_r(G) \cong \mathcal{L}_r(G)$ by Proposition 6. Now, if S any signed graph on any of these graphs, by Proposition 3 and Proposition 5, $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$ and $\mathcal{L}_r(S)$ are balanced and hence, the result follows from Proposition 2.

We now characterize signed graphs those $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$ are isomorphic to their $\mathcal{L}_r(S)$. The following result is a stronger form of the above result.

Proposition 8. For any signed graph $S = (G, \sigma)$, $\mathcal{RL}_r(S) \cong \mathcal{L}_r(S)$ if, and only if, G is either $K_{1,2} \cup nK_2$ or nK_2 .

Proof. Clearly $\mathcal{RL}_r(S) \cong \mathcal{L}_r(S)$, where G is either $K_{1,2} \cup nK_2$ or nK_2 . Consider the map $f: V(\mathcal{RL}_r(G)) \to V(\mathcal{L}_r(S))$ defined by $f(e_1e_2, e_2e_3) = (e'_1e'_2, e'_2e'_3)$ is an isomorphism. Let σ be any signing on $K_{1,2} \cup nK_2$ or nK_2 . Let $e = (e_1e_2, e_2e_3)$ be an edge in $\mathcal{RL}_r(G)$, where G is $K_{1,2} \cup nK_2$ or nK_2 . Then sign of the edge e in $\mathcal{RL}_r(G)$ is the $\sigma(e_1e_2)\sigma(e_2e_3)$ which is the sign of the edge $(e'_1e'_2, e'_2e'_3)$ in $\mathcal{L}_r(G)$, where G is $K_{1,2} \cup nK_2$ or nK_2 . Hence the map f is also a signed graph isomorphism between $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$ and $\mathcal{L}_r(S)$.

The notion of *negation* $\eta(S)$ of a given signed graph S defined in [9] as follows: $\eta(S)$ has the same underlying graph as that of S with the sign of each edge opposite to that given to it in S. However, this definition does not say anything about what to do with nonadjacent pairs of vertices in S while applying the unary operator $\eta(.)$ of taking the negation of S.

For a signed graph $S = (G, \sigma)$, the $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$ is balanced (Proposition 3). We now examine, the conditions under which negation $\eta(S)$ of $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$ is balanced.

Proposition 9. Let $S = (G, \sigma)$ be a signed graph. If $\mathcal{RL}_r(G)$ is bipartite then $\eta(\mathcal{RL}_r(S))$ is balanced.

Proof. Since, by Proposition 3, $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$ is balanced, if each cycle C in $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$ contains even number of negative edges. Also, since $\mathcal{RL}_r(G)$ is bipartite, all cycles have even length; thus, the number of positive edges on any cycle C in $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$ is also even. Hence $\eta(\mathcal{RL}_r(S))$ is balanced.

2.1 Characterization of restricted super line signed graphs $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$

The following result characterize signed graphs which are restricted super line signed graphs of index r.

Proposition 10. A signed graph $S = (G, \sigma)$ is a restricted super line signed graph of index r if and only if S is balanced signed graph and its underlying graph G is a restricted super line graph of index r.

Proof. Suppose that S is balanced and G is a $\mathcal{RL}_r(G)$. Then there exists a graph H such that $\mathcal{L}_r(H) \cong G$. Since S is balanced, by Proposition 1, there exists a marking μ of G such that each edge uv in S satisfies $\sigma(uv) = \mu(u)\mu(v)$. Now consider the signed graph $S' = (H, \sigma')$, where for any edge e in H, $\sigma'(e)$ is the marking of the corresponding vertex in G. Then clearly, $\mathcal{RL}_r(S') \cong S$. Hence S is a restricted super line signed graph of index r.

Conversely, suppose that $S = (G, \sigma)$ is a restricted super line signed graph of index r. Then there exists a signed graph $S' = (H, \sigma')$ such that $\mathcal{RL}_r(S') \cong S$. Hence G is the $\mathcal{RL}_r(G)$ of Hand by Proposition 3, S is balanced.

If we take r = 1 in $\mathcal{RL}_r(S)$, then this is the ordinary line signed graph. In [20, 21], the authors obtained structural characterization of line signed graphs and line signed digraphs and clearly Proposition 10 is the generalization of line signed graphs.

Proposition 11. (E. Sampathkumar et al. [20]) A signed graph $S = (G, \sigma)$ is a line signed graph if, and only if, S is balanced signed graph and its underlying graph G is a line graph.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referee for his valuable comments.

References

- Abelson, R. P., M. J. Rosenberg, Symbolic psychologic: A model of attitudinal cognition, *Behav. Sci.*, Vol. 3, 1958, 1–13.
- Bagga, K. S., L. W. Beineke, B. N. Varma, Super line graphs, In: Y. Alavi, A. Schwenk (Eds.), Graph Theory, Combinatorics and Applications, Wiley-Interscience, New York, Vol. 1, 1995, 35–46.

- [3] Bagga, K. S., L. W. Beineke, B. N. Varma, The super line graph $\mathcal{L}_2(G)$, *Discrete Math.*, Vol. 206, 1999, 51–61.
- [4] Cartwright, D. W., F. Harary, Structural balance: A generalization of Heider's Theory, *Psych. Rev.*, Vol. 63, 1956, 277–293.
- [5] Chartrand, G. T. *Graphs as Mathematical Models*, Prindle, Weber & Schmidt, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts, 1977.
- [6] Gill, M. K. *Contributions to some topics in graph theory and its applications*, Ph.D. thesis, The Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, 1983.
- [7] Harary, F. Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1969.
- [8] Harary, F. On the notion of balance of a signed graph, *Michigan Math. J.*, Vol. 2, 1953, 143–146.
- [9] Harary, F. Structural duality, *Behav. Sci.*, Vol. 2, 1957, No. 4, 255–265.
- [10] Harary, F., R. Z. Norman, D. W. Cartwright, *Structural models: An introduction to the theory of directed graphs*, Wiley Inter-Science, Inc., New York, 1965.
- [11] Harary, F., J. A. Kabell, Counting balanced signed graphs using marked graphs, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., Vol. 24, 1981, No. 2, 99–104.
- [12] Katai, O., S. Iwai, Studies on the balancing, the minimal balancing, and the minimum balancing processes for social groups with planar and nonplanar graph structures, *J. Math. Psychol.*, Vol. 18, 1978, 140–176.
- [13] Manjula, K. *Some results on generalized line graphs*, Ph.D. thesis, Bangalore University, Bangalore, 2004.
- [14] Rangarajan, R., P. S. K. Reddy, The edge C_4 signed graph of a signed graph, *Southeast Asain Bull. Math.*, Vol. 34, 2010, No. 6, 1077–1082.
- [15] Roberts, F. S. *Graph Theory and its Applications to Problems of Society*, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1978.
- [16] Roberts, F. S., Shaoji Xu, Characterizations of consistent marked graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 127, 2003, 357–371.
- [17] Sampathkumar, E. Point signed and line signed graphs, *Nat. Acad. Sci. Letters*, Vol. 7, 1984, No. 3, 91–93.
- [18] Sampathkumar, E., P. S. K. Reddy, M. S. Subramanya, Directionally *n*-signed graphs, *Ramanujan Math. Soc., Lecture Notes Series (Proc. Int. Conf. ICDM 2008)*, Vol. 13, 2010, 155–162.
- [19] Sampathkumar, E., P. S. K. Reddy, M. S. Subramanya, Directionally *n*-signed graphs-II, *International J. Math. Combin.*, Vol. 4, 2009, 89–98.
- [20] Sampathkumar, E., P. S. K. Reddy, M. S. Subramanya, The Line *n*-sigraph of a symmetric *n*-sigraph, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, Vol. 34, 2010, No. 5, 953–958.

- [21] Sampathkumar, E., M. S. Subramanya, P. S. K. Reddy, Characterization of line sidigraphs, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, Vol. 35, 2011, No. 2, 297–304.
- [22] Reddy, P. S. K., M. S. Subramanya, Note on Path Signed Graphs, *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 15, 2009, No. 4, 1–6.
- [23] Reddy, P. S. K., E. Sampathkumar, M. S. Subramanya, Common-edge signed graph of a signed graph, J. Indones. Math. Soc., Vol. 16, 2010, No. 2, 105–112.
- [24] Reddy, P. S. K. t-Path Sigraphs, *Tamsui Oxford J. of Math. Sciences*, Vol. 26, 2010, No. 4, 433–441.
- [25] Reddy, P. S. K., R. Rangarajan, M. S. Subramanya, Switching invariant Neighborhood signed graphs, *Proceedings of the Jangjeon Math. Soc.*, Vol. 14, 2011, No. 2, 249–258.
- [26] Reddy, P. S. K., S. Vijay, The super line signed graph $\mathcal{L}_r(S)$ of a signed graph, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, Vol. 36, 2012, No. 6, 875–882.
- [27] Reddy, P. S. K., U. K. Misra, Common Minimal Equitable Dominating Signed Graphs, *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 18, 2012, No. 4, 40–46.
- [28] Reddy, P. S. K., B. Prashanth, The common minimal dominating signed graph, *Transactions on Combinatorics*, Vol. 1, 2012, No. 3, 39–46.
- [29] Reddy, P. S. K., U. K. Misra, The Equitable Associate Signed Graphs, *Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst.*, Vol. 3, 2013m No. 1, 15–20.
- [30] Reddy, P. S. K., K. R. Rajanna, Kavita S. Permi. The Common Minimal Common Neighborhood Dominating Signed Graphs, *Transactions on Combinatorics*, Vol. 2, 2013, No. 1, 1–8.
- [31] Reddy, P. S. K. Smarandache Directionally n-Signed Graphs: A Survey, International J. Math. Combin., Vol. 2, 2013, 34–43.
- [32] Reddy, P. S. K., U. K. Misra, Graphoidal Signed Graphs, Advn. Stud. Contemp. Math., Vol. 23, 2013, No. 3, 451–460.
- [33] Reddy, P. S. K., U. K. Misra, Directionally n-signed graphs-III: The notion of symmetric balance, *Transactions on Combinatorics*, Vol. 2, 2013, No. 4, 53–62.
- [34] Sozánsky, T. Enumeration of weak isomorphism classes of signed graphs, *J. Graph Theory*, Vol. 4, 1980, No. 2 127–144.
- [35] Zaslavsky, T. Signed Graphs, Discrete Appl. Math., Vol. 4, 1982, No. 1, 47–74.
- [36] Zaslavsky, T. A mathematical bibliography of signed and gain graphs and its allied areas, *Electronic J. Combin.*, Vol. 8, 1998, No. 1, *Dynamic Surveys*, 1999, No. DS8.